SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIVIL DIVISION

STEVEN J. ROSEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE, INC., Et al.,

Defendants.

: Civil Action No.

09-125.6 : Calendar 12

Washington, D.C.

Thursday, October 7, 2010

Deposition of

HOWARD KOHR

a witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above-mentioned action, before Jon G. Hundley, Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia, in the offices of Swick & Shapiro, Suite 1290, 1225 Eye Street, NW, commencing at 10:01 a.m.

> Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. (202) 467-9200

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Plaintiff:

DAVID H. SHAPIRO, ESQ.
Swick & Shapiro, P.C.
1225 Eye Street, NW, Suite 1290
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 842-0300
(202) 842-1418 FAX
DHShapiro@SwickandShapiro.com

On behalf of the Defendants:

THOMAS L. McCALLY, ESQ.
ALLY WRIGHT, ESQ.
Carr Maloney
1615 L Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

	Page 14
1	Q in the whole time that you've been at
2	AIPAC?
3	A In the time I've been at AIPAC.
4	Q Okay.
5	MR. McCALLY: Let him ask the question and
6	then take a pause and then you can answer. Otherwise
7	the reporter has people talking over each other.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
10	Q And what did you hear about Steve Rosen and
11	Keith Weissman receiving classified information?
12	MR. McCALLY: To the extent you have knowledge
13	that is outside attorney-client privilege, in other
14	words, meetings with with your attorneys during that
15	time, don't discuss what your attorneys told you, but
16	knowledge that you have outside of that, such as
17	reading the Indictment
18	THE WITNESS: Right. Just what I've read in
19	the Indictment or the Washingtonian Magazine.
20	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
21	Q You didn't talk to Steve Rosen and Keith
22	Weissman?

Q What were they?

A Well, it started with conduct that we learned was not appropriate or to the standards of AIPAC, and it was a series of things, including disregard of counsel's advice to immediately come to the office immediately after learning about the FBI indictment.

It was lack of total candor with myself and others about what transpired, about Larry Franklin, his importance, the nature of the relationship between the two of them, inappropriate materials being found on his computer.

Q What inappropriate materials?

A Pornographic materials on his computer, and on advice of counsel here, as well, about the experience of Abbe Lowell and Nat Lewin that they experienced with the Government and their recommendations, as well, based on what they experienced, their recommendation of termination.

Q Abbe Lowell recommended termination?

A No, no. Nat Lewin and Richard Cullen, but Nat Lewin in particular, but it was a combination of all these things, not a single act in and of itself.

	-
1.	Q Were all these things presented to the Board
2	of Directors?
3	A Except
4	MR. McCALLY: Wait, wait. In terms if
5	what was presented was presented with counsel, then
6	you're not permitted to go into it. I would advise you
7	not to answer that question.
8	THE WITNESS: That was done with counsel.
9	MR. SHAPIRO: Well, I'm sorry, but a member o
10	the Board has already answered that question and in a
11	deposition. So I think if there was any if there
12	was any privilege, and I doubt there's any privilege to
13	this, it's been it's been waived.
14	MR. McCALLY: Well, we're asserting the
15	privilege.
16	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
17	Q So you're saying it's all these things
18	were were placed before the Board?
19	MR. McCALLY: You asked, I allowed him to
20	answer the question, the reasons as to what was given
21	to the Board with attorneys present. I would advise
22	you not to answer that question. If communications

	Page 57
1	were made without counsel present or involved, then
2	you're permitted to answer.
3	THE WITNESS: Communications were with
4	counsel.
5	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
6	Q So let me make sure I have the list complete.
7	It was conduct not appropriate for AIPAC employees?
8	A Of meeting the standards of AIPAC employees.
9	Q And that included disregarding counsel's
10	advice to come immediately to the office. That would
11	be on the 27th of August?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And who'd be that counsel?
14	A Phil Friedman.
15	Q I see. And lack of candor to you about what
16	happened on the 27th of August?
17	A No. Lack of candor well, mostly lack of
18	candor regarding the nature of his relationship with
19	Larry Franklin.
20	Q And how how what what did he say that
21	was not candid to you? How was he not candid to you?
22	You said to you and others. How how was he not

	Page 59
1	MR. McCALLY: You can you can say
2	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
3	Q I'm talking about to you.
4	MR. McCALLY: You can say the time period.
5	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
6	Q You said to me and others, he said he was
7	not candid to me and to others. I'm asking you not
8	candid to you.
9	A The well, the the conversation regarding
10	me is that this is the statement, I believe, that
11	this was a kook, regarding Franklin, he said to me.
12	Q I'm sorry. I didn't I didn't even hear
13	what you said. What did you say?
14	A He said the description of Larry Franklin
15	as being a kook, a nobody, an insignificant figure.
16	Q That was not candid?
17	A I don't believe that that was candid.
18	Q When did he make that
19	A In the in the early days, even with with
20	counsel and without counsel.
21	Q Let's talk about without counsel. Was it on
22	or before August 27th?

	Page 61
1	do but be that as it may.
2	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
3	Q Answer the question.
4	A No. To the best of my knowledge, no.
5	Q Did Keith Weissman make statements to you that
6	lacked candor?
7	A Again, some of this gets into counsel.
8	Q I'm talking about made to you. I'm not asking
9	about counsel.
10	MR. McCALLY: If you have individual knowledge
11	about Mr. Weissman outside what the attorneys told
12	you,
13	HE WITNESS: The answer is no. I mean, I
14	don't have individual information outside of what I
15.	learned from counsel.
16	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
17	Q Did Mr. Rosen make any other statement to you
18	that lacked candor, other than that Larry Franklin was
19	a kook and a nobody?
20	MR. McCALLY: To him personally, outside the
21	presence of counsel?
22	MR. SHAPIRO: He told me that right.

	Page 62
1	MR. McCALLY: You said others. So I'm making
2	it clear that he can answer the question, that this is
3	directed at him talking to you, not what you
4	HE WITNESS: No.
5	MR. McCALLY: got from counsel.
6	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
7	Q So that was the only that was the only
8	A No. But and there was also the omission of
9	the conversation that took place with the FBI that was
10	taking place even prior. That was failed to be
11	mentioned to us, as well.
12	Q What conversation with the FBI was failed to
13	be mentioned to you?
14	A The FBI conversation looking for security
15	clearance questions about Mr. Franklin was not revealed
16	either.
17	Q And when did he fail to reveal those?
18	A When they occurred.
19	Q And when was that, as you understand it?
20	A Some time in the previous year, 2004-2003,
21	some time period.
22	Q How many times have has Mr has Mr.